



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,341	10/037,341 01/04/2002		David Baltimore	APBI-P05-035	6591
28120	7590	03/28/2006		EXAMINER	
	—	E IP GROUP \	GUZO, DAVID		
ROPES & GRAY LLP ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON	BOSTON, MA 02110-2624			1636	
				DATE MAIL ED: 03/28/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/037,341	BALTIMORE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Guzo	1636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Ja</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	vn from consideration.		
<u> </u>			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/037,341 Page 2

Art Unit: 1636

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-4, drawn to isolated nuclear proteins which bind to transcriptional regulatory DNA elements of immunoglobulin genes, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 5-6, drawn to isolated nuclear proteins which bind to DNA sequences upstream of both mouse heavy and kappa light chain gene promoters and binds to mouse heavy chain gene enhancer, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claims 7-9, 49 drawn to isolated nucleic acids encoding nuclear proteins which bind to transcriptional regulatory DNA elements of immunoglobulin genes, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- IV. Claim 10, drawn to an isolated DNA encoding a structural gene for a nuclear protein which binds in a sequence specific manner to the kappa enhancer, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5.
- V. Claims 11-12, drawn to isolated DNA which encodes a nuclear protein which binds to DNAs in the upstream region of both mouse heavy and kappa light chain gene promoters and binds to DNA sequences of mouse heavy chain gene enhancer, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- VI. Claim 13, drawn to a cloned DNA sequence which encodes a protein which binds to TGGGGATTCCCCA and hybridizes to a 10kb RNA

Application/Control Number: 10/037,341

Art Unit: 1636

transcript form both B and non-B human cells, classified in class 536, subclass 23.5.

Page 3

- VII. Claims 14-16, drawn to an assay for detection of binding of cellular nuclear protein to DNA, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- VIII. Claims 17-23, drawn to a method of enhancing the transcription of a gene of interest whose transcription is regulated by a regulatory factor which binds DNA in the vicinity of a gene, classified in class 435, subclass 455.
- IX. Claims 24-27, drawn to lymphoid cells, classified in class 435, subclass 325.
- X. Claim 28, drawn to a method of screening for the expression of a sequence-specific binding protein by a recombinant expression vector, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- XI. Claims 29-35, drawn to a method of identifying recombinant expression vectors, classified in class 435, subclass 320.1.
- XII. Claims 36-41, drawn to DNA probes and methods of detecting DNA or RNA, classified in class 536, subclass 24.3.
- XIII. Claims 42-44, drawn to polyclonal or monoclonal antibodies and an immunoassay, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1.
- XIV. Claim 45, drawn to phage lambda h3, ATCC 67629, classified in class 435, subclass 320.1.
- XV. Claim 46, drawn to phage OCT-2, ATCC 67630, classified in class 435, subclass 320.1.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,341

Art Unit: 1636

XVI. Claim 47, drawn to a method of identifying an agonist or antagonist of gene transcription, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

Page 4

- XVII. Claims 48, 87-88, drawn to an agonist or antagonist of nuclear proteins which bind to transcriptional regulatory DNA elements of immunoglobulin genes, classified in class 530, subclass 324.
- XVIII. Claims 50-53, drawn to a method of specifically stimulating gene transcription in a cell, classified in class 435, subclass 455.
- XIX. Claims 54-56, drawn to a method of inducing expression of a gene, classified in class 435, subclass 320.1.
- XX. Claims 57-61, drawn to a method of altering expression in a cell of a gene whose transcriptional activity is altered by binding of NK-κB to the enhancer of said gene, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- XXI. Claims 62-63, drawn to a method of controlling expression of HIV DNA in a host cell, classified in class 435, subclass 5.
- XXII. Claim 64, drawn to an isolated NF-κB lκB complex, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- XXIII. Claim 65, drawn to isolated DNA encoding NF-κB IκB complex, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- XXIV. Claims 66-73, drawn to a method of regulating NF-κB mediated gene expression in a cell, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- XXV. Claims 74-77, drawn to a method of positively regulating the expression of a gene in a cell, classified in class 435, subclass 455.

XXVI. Claims 78-83, drawn to a method of negatively regulating the expression of a gene in a cell, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

XXVII. Claims 84-85, drawn to a method of modifying the expression of at least one gene in a cell, the gene having a NK- κ B binding site, classified in class 435, subclass 455.

XXVIII.Claim 86, drawn to isolated or recombinant IkB, classified in class 530, subclass 350.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II, XIII, XXII, XXVIII and III, IV, V, VI, XII and XXIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions I, II, XIII, XXII, XXVIII relate to proteins and the inventions III, IV, V, VI, XII and XXIII all relate to nucleic acids. Proteins are functionally, biochemically and structurally distinct from nucleic acids and are capable of supporting separate patents. A search of one would not be co-extensive with a search of the others and hence would be burdensome.

Inventions VII, VIII, X, XI, XVI, XVIII-XXI, XIV-XVI and XVII are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP §806.05(j). In the instant case, the different processes utilize different process steps or are directed

to unrelated outcomes. For example, the invention of Group VII is directed to assaying for detection of binding of cellular nuclear protein to DNA, said method comprising preparing an incubation mixture comprising radiolabeled DNA fragments, an alternating copolymer duplex of poly (dl-dc)-poly (dl-dc), etc. incubating the mixture to allow for formation of protein-DNA complexes and resolving complexed DNA from free DNA, etc. while the invention of Group VIII involves a method of enhancing the transcription of a gene whose transcription is regulated by a regulatory factor which binds DNA in the vicinity of the gene wherein said method involves completely unrelated method steps.

Page 6

Inventions IX, XIV, XV and I, II, XIII, XXII, XXVIII, III, IV, V, VI, XII and XXIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions involving the lymphoid cells and phage of Groups IX, XIV, XV are distinct from the other proteins and nucleic acids of Groups I, II, XIII, XXII, XXVIII, III, IV, V, VI, XII and XXIII in that the cells and phage are distinct compositions with unique properties. A search of one would not be co-extensive with a search of the others and hence would be burdensome.

Inventions IX, XIV, XV and VII, VIII, X-XII, XVI, XVIII, XIX-XXI, XXIV-XXVIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions involving Groups IX, XIV, XV (lymphoid cells, recombinant phage) are unrelated to the Art Unit: 1636

method Groups VII, VIII, X-XII, XVI, XVIII, XIX-XXI, XXIV-XXVIII because none of the method Groups require the specifics of the compositions of Groups IX, XIV, XV and said compositions could be used in alternative methods of using.

Inventions I-VI, XII, XIII, XXII, XXIII, XXVIII and VII, VIII, X-XII, XVI, XVIII, XIX-XXI, XXIV-XXVIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case each of the product inventions (Groups I-VI, XII, XIII, XXIII, XXVIII) can be used in a materially different method of using from each of the different methods (Groups VII, VIII, X-XII, XVI, XVIII, XIX-XXI, XXIV-XXVIII). For example, each of the recited products can be used as a therapeutic agent for treatment of diseases associated with altered expression of nuclear proteins which bind to a transcriptional regulatory DNA element of a target gene (such as an immunoglobulin gene).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Guzo, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0767. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,341

Art Unit: 1636

Page 9

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Guzo March 20, 2006